# Construction of quantum integrable models with bound states

Daniela Cadamuro

Technische Universität München

York, 6 April 2017

Joint work with Yoh Tanimoto

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

#### Dear Henning,

As my Professor, Advisor, Mentor, Colleague and Collaborator you have been a very important influence during my career and I very much regret that today, I cannot be in York giving a talk in the honor of your 60th birthday and celebrating with you. I had tried everything to be in York, but due to complicated immigration law, I could not leave the country and therefore not participate in this event. I hereby send you my best birthday wishes.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Alles gute zum 60. Geburtstag!

Marcel Bischoff

A major problem of QFT is the difficulty of constructing interesting examples beyond formal perturbation theory.

- free theories
- $P(\phi)_2$  and  $\phi_3^4$  models
- conformal field theories in 2 dimensions
- integrable theories in 2 dimensions

# Integrable models

- Bosons (no spin, with mass  $\mu > 0$ ) in 1+1 dimensional Minkowski spacetime
- Two-momentum and rapidity:

$$p = p(\theta) = \mu(\cosh \theta, \sinh \theta)$$

Two-particle scattering allows exchange of phase factor

• two-particle scattering matrix  $S(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$ .

- multi-particle scattering matrix product of two-particle scattering matrices ("factorizing S matrix").
- The two-particle scattering function S is
  - a meromorphic function in the strip 0  $< {\rm Im}\, \theta < \pi$
  - with certain symmetry properties,
  - S = 1: free field; S = -1: Ising model, other examples: sinh-Gordon model, Bullough-Dodd model.

Task: Given a function *S*, construct a corresponding quantum field theory.

Previous attempt ("form factor programme"): Construct the *n*-point function of a local pointlike field A(x).

$$\langle \Omega, A(x)A(0)\Omega \rangle = \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n \, e^{-ix \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n p(\theta_k)} |\langle \Omega | A(0) | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \rangle_{\text{in}} |^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Problem: Convergence of the series is extremly difficult to control.

Previous attempt ("form factor programme"): Construct the *n*-point function of a local pointlike field A(x).

$$\langle \Omega, \mathcal{A}(x)\mathcal{A}(0)\Omega \rangle = \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n \, e^{-ix \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n p(\theta_k)} |\langle \Omega | \mathcal{A}(0) | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \rangle_{\text{in}} |^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Problem: Convergence of the series is extremly difficult to control.

Here: Try constructing field operators with weaker localization properties first.

### Deformed Hilbert space and deformed fields

The theory is constructed as a deformation of a free field:

• Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra (elements  $z(\theta), z^{\dagger}(\theta)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} z(\theta_1)z(\theta_2) &= \mathbf{S}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, z(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) \,, \\ z^{\dagger}(\theta_1)z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) &= \mathbf{S}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) z^{\dagger}(\theta_1) \,, \\ z(\theta_1)z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) &= \mathbf{S}(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \, z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) + \delta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

These act on an "S-symmetric" Fock space.

- Representation of the Poincaré group, including the space-time reflections *J*.
- Define

$$\phi(x) := \int d\theta \left( e^{ip(\theta) \cdot x} z^{\dagger}(\theta) + e^{-ip(\theta) \cdot x} z(\theta) \right).$$

This field is not local:

 $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] \neq 0$  even if x spacelike separated from y.

### Local observables

• But, with 
$$\phi'(x) := U(j)\phi(-x)U(j)$$
:

 $[\phi(x), \phi'(y)] = 0$  if x spacelike separated to the left of y.

This assumes that *S* is analytic in the "physical strip"  $0 < \text{Im } \zeta < \pi$ .

- Interpretation:  $\phi(x)$  is localized in the wedge region  $W_L + x$ , and  $\phi'(y)$  is localized in the wedge region  $W_R y$ .
- Further wedge-local observables by relative locality / associated von Neumann algebras:

$$\mathcal{A}(W_L + x) = \{\exp i\phi(f) \mid \operatorname{supp} f \subset W_L + x\}''$$

 Observables localized in bounded regions are obtained as intersections of von Neumann algebras

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) := \mathcal{A}(W_L + x) \cap \mathcal{A}(W_R - y)$  where  $\mathcal{O} = W_L + x \cap W_R - y$ 

 Result (Lechner 2006): Such observables exist for a large class of S. Now suppose that  $S(\theta)$  has poles in the physical strip  $0 < \text{Im } \theta < \pi$ .

- Physically these poles correspond to "bound states", that is the "fusion" of two bosons.
  - Simplification: only one type of particle; two bosons of equal type fuse to form another boson of the same type.
- The momenta of the particles are related by  $p(\theta_1) + p(\theta_2) = p(\theta_b)$ , where  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\theta_b$  are the (complex) rapidities of the two fusing bosons and of the bound particle, respectively.
- The difference of the rapidities of the fusing bosons is the position of the pole on the rapidity complex plane: θ<sub>1</sub> θ<sub>2</sub> = iλ (0 < λ < π).</li>
  - If the particles have all equal masses, this is fulfilled if and only if  $\theta_1 = \theta + \frac{i\pi}{3}, \theta_2 = \theta \frac{i\pi}{3}$  and  $\theta_b = \theta$  (that is,  $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ .)

- In Lechner's work, the commutator  $[\phi'(f), \phi(g)]$  is seen to be zero by shifting an integral contour from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R} + i\pi$ .
  - But due to the residue of *S* at the pole  $\frac{2\pi i}{3}$ , this is no longer true.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

• We need to modify  $\phi$  to get a wedge-local expression.

The properties of the two-particle scattering function are

- Unitarity:  $S(-\theta) = \overline{S(\theta)} = S(\theta)^{-1}$ .
- Crossing symmetry:  $S(i\pi \theta) = S(\theta)$ .
- Bootstrap equation:  $S(\theta) = S(\theta + \frac{i\pi}{3})S(\theta \frac{i\pi}{3})$ .

Example for such a function S: Bullough-Dodd model.

$$S(\zeta, B) = f_{\frac{2}{3}}(\zeta)f_{\frac{B}{3}-\frac{2}{3}}(\zeta)f_{-\frac{B}{3}}(\zeta),$$

where

$$f_a(\zeta) := rac{ anh rac{1}{2}(\zeta + i\pi a)}{ anh rac{1}{2}(\zeta - i\pi a)}, \quad 0 < B < 1.$$

## Wedge-local model with bound states

We introduce, on the *S*-symmetric Fock space, the "bound state operator".

On the single-particle Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_1$ :

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Dom}(\chi_1(f)) &:= \\ \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \xi(\theta) \text{ has an } L^2 \text{-bounded analytic continuation to } \theta - \frac{i\pi}{3} \}, \\ & (\chi_1(f)\xi)(\theta) := \sqrt{2\pi |R|} f^+ \left(\theta + \frac{i\pi}{3}\right) \xi \left(\theta - \frac{i\pi}{3}\right), \end{aligned}$ where  $R := \operatorname{res}_{\zeta = \frac{2\pi i}{3}} S(\zeta)$ . Note:  $\chi_1(f)$  realizes the idea that the state of one elementary particle  $\xi$  is fused with  $f^+$  into the same species of particle.

On the S-symmetric Fock space: (P projector onto this space)

$$\chi_n(f) := nP_n(\chi_1(f) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1})P_n,$$
  
$$\chi(f) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(f).$$

Note: As a consequence of crossing symmetry, the two-particle scattering function has another pole at  $\theta' = i\pi - \frac{2i\pi}{3} = \frac{i\pi}{3}$  with residue

$$R' := \operatorname{res}_{\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{3}} S(\zeta).$$

As a consequence of the properties of S, one finds that R' = -R and that *R* is purely imaginary.

We define a new field

$$\tilde{\phi}(f) = \phi(f) + \chi(f),$$

where

$$\phi(f) = z^{\dagger}(f_+) + z(f_-)$$

 $(f_{\pm}(\theta) = \int d\theta \ e^{\pm ip(\theta) \cdot x} f(x)).$ We can introduce the reflected field as  $\tilde{\phi}'(g) := J \tilde{\phi}(jg) J.$  Consider the following linear space of vectors:  $\Psi \in \text{Dom}(\tilde{\phi}(f)) \cap \text{Dom}(\tilde{\phi}'(g))$  such that  $\prod_j S\left(\theta - \theta_j + \frac{\pi i}{3}\right) \Psi_n(\theta, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{n-1})$  and  $\prod_j S\left(\theta - \theta_j + \frac{2\pi i}{3}\right) \Psi_n(\theta, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{n-1})$  have  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ -valued bounded analytic continuations in  $\theta$  to  $\theta \pm \epsilon i$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

#### Theorem

Let f and g be real test functions supported in  $W_L$  and  $W_R$ , respectively. Then, for each  $\Phi, \Psi$  in the linear space above, it holds that

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}(f) \Phi, \tilde{\phi}'(g) \Psi 
angle = \langle \tilde{\phi}'(g) \Phi, \tilde{\phi}(f) \Psi 
angle.$$

• Note: It is the commutator of  $\chi$  with its reflected operator  $\chi'$  that cancels the contribution of the residues coming from the commutator between  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$ , mentioned before.

# Outlook

- The fields φ̃(f) and φ̃'(g) do not preserve their domains, especially one cannot iterate them on the vacuum more than once.
- The Reeh-Schlieder property is difficult to verify since the domain of the field is not invariant. If we assume the existence of nice self-adjoint extensions, Reeh-Schlieder can be shown.
- The field  $\tilde{\phi}(f)$  is a polarization-free generator, but non-temperate.
- To show: φ̃(f) and φ̃'(g) have self-adjoint extensions and they strongly commute. (some progress by Y. Tanimoto)
- Apply Haag-Ruelle scattering theory.
- Construction of Haag-Kastler nets: prove the modular nuclearity condition for the associated wedge-local nets and for separations of wedges larger than a minimal distance.

Other interesting models with poles in the physical strip contain more than one type of particle.

- Z(N) model: has particles labelled 1, ..., N-1, where N-j is the anti-particle of j ( $\overline{j} = N j$ ) with fusion rules  $(jk) = j + k \mod N$ .
- Thirring model: has particles s, s ("soliton", "anti-soliton") and a finite number of bound states of s and s called b<sub>k</sub> ("breathers").

 $(s, \bar{s}) = b_k,$   $(s, b_k) = s,$   $(\bar{s}, b_k) = \bar{s},$  $(b_k, b_l) = b_{k+l},$   $(b_{k+l}, b_k) = b_l.$ 

#### Other models

What changes in these models:

- There is a larger single particle space, i.e., there are several types of creators and annihilators:  $z_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ .
- The two-particle scattering function is a matrix  $S_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(\zeta)$ .
- Fusion angles can be more complicated  $\theta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = \theta_{(\alpha\beta)}^{\gamma} + \theta_{(\beta\alpha)}^{\gamma}$ .
- Wedge local fields and associated local nets in the case without poles in the physical strip have been worked out by Lechner-Schützenhofer and Alazzawi

$$\phi(f) = z_{\alpha}(Jf_{-\alpha}) + z_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(f_{+\alpha}).$$

 In the case with poles in the physical strip, the proof of wedge-locality requires a new form of the bootstrap equation:

$$S^{\mu\hat{\gamma}}_{\gamma\nu}(\zeta)\eta^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}=\eta^{\hat{\gamma}}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}S^{\mu\hat{\alpha}}_{\alpha k}(\zeta+i\theta^{\gamma}_{(\alpha\beta)})S^{k\hat{\beta}}_{\beta\nu}(\zeta-i\theta^{\gamma}_{(\beta\alpha)}),$$

where the matrix  $\eta$  is related to the residue of *S*.

... and the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$S^{lphaeta}_{eta'lpha'}( heta)S^{lpha'\gamma}_{\gamma'lpha''}( heta+ heta')S^{eta'\gamma'}_{\gamma''eta''}( heta')=S^{eta\gamma}_{\gamma'eta'}( heta')S^{lpha\gamma'}_{\gamma''lpha'}( heta+ heta')S^{lpha'eta'}_{eta''lpha''}( heta).$$

together with a new  $\chi$ , acting on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  as

$$(\chi_1(f)\xi)_{\gamma}(\theta) := \sum_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} f_{\alpha}^+(\theta + i\theta_{(\alpha\beta)})\xi_{\beta}(\theta - i\theta_{(\beta\alpha)}).$$

- What we have so far:
  - In the Z(N)-Ising model and in the Affine-Toda field theories certain components of the fields φ̃(f) and φ̃'(g) weakly commute on a dense domain.
  - We can prove an analogous result in a "deformed" version of sine-Gordon model with CDD factors, if we restrict ourselvies to only two breathers.
  - We are currently investigating the Thirring model.

## Summary and outlook

- We have investigated integrable models where the two-particle scattering function has poles in the physical strip.
- We have modified Lechner's definition of wedge-local field by adding an extra term "χ".
- In some models, e.g. Bullough-Dodd, this again yields a wedge-local quantity.
- In other models, e.g. Z(N) and sine-Gordon, we have obtained partial results.
- Operator theoretic properties of  $\tilde{\phi}$  are a difficult issue and are under investigation.
- Questions concerning the construction of Haag-Kastler nets (modular nuclearity condition) and the application of Haag-Ruelle scattering theory for scalar S-matrices are work in progress.